

20.11.2023

Sl. 2

Ct.No. 655

Amalranjan

C.O. 49 of 2014

Sukchand Khan

Vs

Asanulla Gharami & Ors.

No one appears on behalf of either of the parties even on repeated calls. No prayer for accommodation is made either.

The instant revisional application has been preferred by the present petitioner challenging the impugned order dated 7.12.2013 passed by the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Tehatta Court, Nadia in Title Suit No. 39 of 2012.

It appears that the opposite parties/plaintiffs filed the suit for eviction against the present petitioner before the learned trial court and in the case the defender/petitioner (herein) did not file the written statement within the stipulated period as enshrined in the Act.

The prayer for filing the written statement has been rejected by passing the impugned order by the learned trial court.

Despite the matter is listed, there is no appearance on behalf of the parties. It appears that the present petitioner /revisionist has lost his interest to proceed with the case. So, this

court finds no option but to dismiss the instant revisional application.

Accordingly, the instant case is hereby dismissed for default but without any order as to costs.

Interim order, if any, granted earlier stands vacated.

All parties are to act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Prasenjit Biswas,J.)