

10.02.2023.
p.b.
Sl. No.17.

WPA 2473 of 2023

Pranesh Dealmark Pvt. Ltd.
Vs.
Union of India & ors.

Mr. Avra Mazumder,
Mr. S. Bhowmick,
Ms. Megha Agarwal.
.....for the petitioner.
Ms. Smita Das De.
.....for the respondent.

Heard learned advocates appearing for the parties.

In this writ petition, petitioner has challenged the impugned notice dated April 23, 2021 relating to assessment year 2014-15 under section 148 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 which is a transferor company on the grounds that the impugned notice has been issued in the name of the company which has already been amalgamated in.2020 and that the department has been intimated about this amalgamation which is matters of record and such notice in the name of a non-existing company is not tenable in the eye of law.

In support of his contention, learned advocate for the petitioner has relied on a decision of the Gujarat High Court in the case of **Takshashila Realities Pvt. Ltd. v. Deputy Commissioner of Income Tax reported in 2016**

SCC OnLine Guj 6462 and specifically relies on paragraph 10 of the said judgement which is hereinbelow:-

“10. Heard the learned Counsels appearing on behalf of the respective parties at length. At the outset, it is required to be noted and it is not in dispute that the impugned notices under section 148 of the Income Tax Act have been issued against the original assessee on 21.01.2011 to reopen the assessment for the Assessment year 2009-10. It is also not in dispute that the respective petitioners-original assessee are ordered to be amalgamated with one Takshasila Gruh Nirman (Subsequently named as Takshashila Realities Pvt. Ltd). The scheme of amalgamation has been sanctioned by this Court, by which the respective petitioners are ordered to be amalgamated into Takshashila Gruh Nirman (Subsequently named as Takshashila Realities Pvt. Ltd.) with effect from 01.04.2010. Under the circumstances, when the impugned notices are issued against the original assessee-amalgamating Company on 21.01.2011, it can be said that the same has been issued against the non-existent Company. It cannot be disputed that once the scheme for amalgamation has been sanctioned by the Court with effect from 01.04.2010, from that date amalgamating Company would not be in existence. Under the circumstances, the impugned notices, which are issued against the non-existent Company, cannot be

sustained and the same deserves to be quashed and set aside. Identical question came to be considered by the Division Bench of this Court in the case of Khurana Engineering Ltd. (supra). It was the case where the original assessee Company was ordered to be amalgamated with effect from 01.04.2009. Notice under section 148 of the Income Tax Act was issued against and the transferor Company-amalgamating Company on 20.06.2012. The Division Bench of this Court in a writ petition filed by the transferor Company has observed and held that on and from the appointed date, as per the scheme of amalgamation sanctioned by the Court, the transferor Company shall not be in existence, and therefore, the impugned notices against the transferor Company (non-existent Company) shall not be permissible. The Division Bench has observed that in such a situation the assessment can always be made and is supposed to be made on the transferee Company taking into account the income of both the transferor and transferee Company and also the more advisable course from the point of view of the revenue would be to make one assessment on the transferee Company and to make separate protective assessments on both the transferor and transferee Companies separately ultimately, the Division Bench has held that the transferor Company would no longer be amenable to the assessment proceedings for the

Assessment Year 2010-11, and therefore, notice for producing documents for such assessment would therefore be invalid.”

Learned advocate for the respondents in all her fairness has submitted that she has got no case and could not distinguish the aforesaid judgement of the Hon’ble Gujarat High Court on the facts and law.

Considering the submission of the parties, I am of the view that the impugned notice dated April 23, 2021 (Annexure P-4 to the writ petition) and the subsequent proceeding thereof is not tenable in the eye of law and all further steps pursuant to the said impugned notice also are not tenable in the eye of law. This writ petition is allowed and the impugned notice is quashed solely on the ground that the impugned notice was issued in the name of non-existing company in spite of revenue having notice and knowledge of non-existence of such Company.

Since no affidavits have been called for, allegations made in the writ petition are deemed to have been denied by the respondents.

Accordingly, WPA 2473 of 2023 is disposed of.

(Md. Nizamuddin, J.)