

30.01.2023

Sl.23

Court No.29

(AD)

(Rejected)

C.R.M. (A) 369 of 2023

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Hirapur** Police Station Case No.**477 of 2022** dated **30.11.2022** under Sections **498A/304B** and Section **34** of the Indian Penal Code, and Sections **3/4** of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

And

In the matter of: **Tinku Khan @ Md. Yakub Khan**

....petitioner.

Mr. Tirthapati Acharya
Md. Abdur Rakib

...for the petitioner.

Mr. Saswata Gopal Mukherji, Ld. PP
Mr. Aniket Mitra

...for the State.

Petitioner prays for anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the husband is in custody. The petitioner is the brother-in-law of the deceased. The petitioner used to live separately than the matrimonial home of the deceased.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the materials in the case diary including the statements of the neighbours recorded under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Apparently, the petitioner was involved in pressurizing and torturing the deceased to bring dowry for the purpose of buying a toto.

In such circumstances, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

C.R.M. (A) 369 of 2023 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)