

**ASR 20.
Ct. no. 15
8.5.2023**

WPA 795 of 2017

Mrinal Kanti Panda

Vs.

Contai Municipality & Ors.

Mr. Nilanjan Adhikari

**....for Contai Municipality
Respondent no. 1,2,3**

At the time of call no one appears for the petitioner and no accommodation is prayed for.

However, Municipality is representing by learned Advocate.

Same situation prevailed on 24th April, 2023.

It appears that petitioner has lost interest.

The writ petition stands dismissed.

Interim order, if any, stands vacated.

Urgent xerox certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the learned Advocates for the parties on usual undertaking.

(Saugata Bhattacharyya,J)