

**19.01.2023**

Sl.34

Court No.29

(AD)

(Rejected)

**C.R.M. (A) 276 of 2023**

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Cyber Crime** Police Station **Hooghly Rural** Case No.04 of 2022 dated **18.04.2022** under Sections **410/419/406/34** of the Indian Penal Code and under Section **66(D)** of the Information Technology Act, 2000 (corresponding to G.R. No.558 of 2022).

And

In the matter of: **Sourav Chakraborty**

....petitioner.

Mr. Suman Chakraborty

...for the petitioner.

Mr. Rudradipta Nandy, Ld. APP

Ms. Sonali Das

...for the State.

Petitioner prays for anticipatory bail.

The materials in the case diary suggest that money with regard to mobile tower erection was parked in the account belonging to the proprietorship firm of the petitioner.

Pursuant to an order passed by the Coordinate Bench, police complaints relating to mobile tower erection frauds are required to be investigated into by a specialized agency.

In the facts of the present case, considering the complicity of the petitioner and the gravity of the offence, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

**C.R.M. (A) 276 of 2023** is dismissed.

**(Debangsu Basak, J.)**

**(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)**