

18.01.2023

23

Ct. No. 29

**KAUSHIK
REJECTED**

C.R.M.(A) 228 of 2023

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Bhimpur** Police Station Case No. **317** of **2022** dated **05.08.2022** under Sections 498A/326A/307/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Archana Das & Anr.

..... petitioners

Mr. Snehangshu Majumdar

....for the petitioners

Mr. Debabrata Chatterjee

Ms. Debjani Dasgupta

....for the State

Petitioners pray for anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that, there is a police complaint against the de-facto complainant in the present case relating to the death of the husband. The present police complaint was lodged to falsely implicate the petitioner. There was a delay in lodging the present police complaint.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the materials in the case diary including the injury report of the de-facto complainant.

There is an injury report of the de-facto complainant given by a government hospital stating that the de-facto complainant suffered acid attack. The de-facto complainant implicates the two petitioners in the incident.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the materials in the case diary, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is rejected and the application being CRM (A) 228 of 2023 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)