

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1801 of 2020

=====

Shatrudhan Ram Son of Late Laxmi Ram Resident of At- Anandpur, P.O. and
P.S.- Jaynagar, District- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Punjab National Bank Through General Manager, R Block, 2nd Floor,
Near Chankya Hotel, Veer Chandra Patel Marg, Patna.
2. Cheif Manager Punjab National Bank, Circle Office, Darbhanga, G.M.
Road, Near Akashvani, District- Darbhanga, Bihar.
3. Branch Manager Punjab National Bank, Jaynagar Branch, District-
Madhubani, Bihar.
4. Subhash Kumar Singh Son of Sri Brahmadeo Prasad Singh Resident of
Rajauli, P.O.- Dhaniapatti, P.S.- Deodha, Jaynagar, District- Madhubani,
Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Kumar Priya Ranjan, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

4 21-09-2023 On call, no one appears on behalf of the petitioner

although learned Counsel appearing for the respondent nos. 1 to

3, Punjab National Bank is present.

2. The present petition has been filed for the following
reliefs:-

*(i) for issuance of an appropriate
writ/order/direction to quash the appointment of
respondent no. 4 who has been appointed to the post of
Part Time Sweeper (PTS) in Punjab National Bank,
Jaynagar Branch, District -Madhubani, ignoring the*



genuine claim of the petitioner who was already working on the same post in the same branch since 2011 to 2019;

(ii) for issuance of an appropriate writ/order/direction in the nature of Mandamus, directing the respondent concerned to appoint the petitioner to the post of Part Time Sweeper (PTS) in the Punjab National Bank, Jaynagar Branch, District-Branch.

3. Learned Counsel has taken this Court to paragraph 16 and has submitted that the petitioner participated in two selection process and having been unsuccessful, has challenged the entire selection process.

4. He as such, submits that in the light of the case of Hon'ble Apex Court in Ashok Kumar & Anr. vs State of Bihar & Ors. reported in (2017) 4 SCC 357 having participated in exam and later challenging it after being unsuccessful is impermissible.

5. Be that as it may, since no one has appeared, the petition stands dismissed for non prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Neha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

