

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1463 of 2020

=====

Sangeeta Kumari Daughter of Ram Narayan Prasad, Wife of Sri Anand Prakash Resident of Mohalla Brahmasthan, Road No. 2, near CBSE Office, P.S. Shastrinagar, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Chairman, Bihar Staff Selection Commission, Veterinary College, Patna.
4. The Secretary, Bihar Staff Selection Commission, Veterinary College, Patna.
5. The Examination Controller, Bihar Staff Selection Commission, Veterinary College, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Dharendra Nath Jha, Advocate with Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Dr. Mankeshwar Tiwari, AC to AAG – 3
For the BSSC	:	Mr. Gyan Shankar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

4 27-06-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

The petitioner has participated in the process of selection for the post of Assistant in the Secretariat. She had approached this Court with a grievance in C.W.J.C. No. 3932 of 2019 that requisite information has not been supplied regarding cut-off marks. This Court directed as follows:-

“3. Under the aforesaid circumstances, this Court deems it appropriate to direct the petitioner to make a representation before the



Secretary, Bihar Staff Selection Commission within a period of four weeks from today. On receipt of such representation, the Secretary of the Commission shall look into the matter and shall pass a reasoned order within a period of four weeks thereafter.

4. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the writ petition stands disposed off.”

The petitioner, thereafter, has approached the Authority, and the Secretary of the respondent- Commission has passed a reasoned order. The petitioner's rank is at 15836, whereas the candidates of general category, Backward Category as well as Women Backward Category, who have been selected were having a much higher cut-off, which is apparent from the post wise/ category wise cut-off rank, which has been annexed as Annexure- 10 to the counter affidavit.

In the column meant for the petitioner's category i.e., Women Backward Category candidates, at Annexure- 10/1, candidates, who has been appointed as an Auditor Finance, in the Audit Department was at rank 4250. No one below this rank in the women Backward Category has been selected, as is apparent from the counter affidavit filed by the respondents.

In view of the fact that the cut-off for selection in the petitioner's claimed category of Women BC (WBC) was much



above the petitioner. The petitioner cannot claim that she has any right to selection in the process. The assertions in the counter affidavit, noted above, also have not been rejoined by the petitioner by filing any reply or rejoinder.

No case is made out for issuance of any direction.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

Raj kishore/-

U			
---	--	--	--

