

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No. 4637 of 2019

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2320 of 2010

-
-
1. Nageshwar Prasad Sinha Son of Sri Madhu Sinha Resident of Mohalla-Jaiprakash Nagar, P.S. Jakkanpur, District and Town- Patna.
 2. Surya Vijay Singh Son of Ram Priya Sharan Singh Resident of Mohalla-Rajendra Nagar Road No.1, P.S.- Kadam Kuan, District and Town - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar.
2. Mr. Anand Kishor (Name of the father is not known to the petitioners) Secretary Urban Development Department, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna
3. Mr. Amit Kumar Pandey (Name of the father is not known to the petitioners) Chief Executive Officer / Nigam Ayukt, Patna Municipal Corporation, Budh Marg, Patna.
4. Mr. Rajbalabh Sah (Name of the father is not known to the petitioners), The Chief Engineer Patna Water Board, Patna.
5. Mr. Sailesh Kumar (Name of the father is not known to the petitioners), Executive Officer Patna Water Board.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeet Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Subhash Prasad Singh (Ga 3)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 12-05-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners,

learned counsel for the State along with learned counsel

for the Patna Municipal Corporation Mr. Prasoon Sinha.

The learned counsel for the Patna Municipal

Corporation at the outset submits that the grievance of the

petitioners stands redressed in terms of the order passed



by the learned Writ Court, it is next submitted that all the legitimate/ admitted dues of the petitioners stands paid. Learned counsel for the petitioners does not dispute what has been submitted by the learned counsel appearing for the Patna Municipal Corporation but then it is submitted that the calculation chart has not been provided showing how the calculation was done and whether the payments so made has been made in its entirety or still some legitimate dues are left to be paid.

The learned counsel for the Patna Municipal Corporation submits that the same will be provided to the petitioners within a month from today.

Be that as it may, in view of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the Patna Municipal Corporation, the Court is not inclined to proceed with the contempt application.

The contempt application is thus disposed of.

However, the same would not preclude the petitioners from filing a second contempt in the event, if it is found that the entire admitted/ legitimate dues has not



been paid to the petitioners as submitted by the learned
counsel for the Corporation.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

GauravSinha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

