

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4548 of 2019

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13201 of 2014

-
-
1. Baleshwar Sharma, Son of Late Jagdish Sharma, Resident of Village-Bandhuganj, P.S.-Ghoshi, District-Jehanabad.
 2. Dr. Rajesh Ranjan Das, Son of Late Haridaya Narayan Das, Resident of Mohalla-Sarojini Sadan, R.S. Tank (South), P.S.-Bahadurpur, District-Darbhangha.
 3. Hira Kant Jha, Son of Late Ramchandra Jha, Resident of Village-Nagar Panchayat, Jhanjharpur, Ward No. 10, P.S. Jhanjharpur, District-Madhubani.
 4. Shri Kant Pandey, Son of Late Laxmi Narayan Pandey, Resident of Village-Sareya, P.S.-Harsidhi, District-East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Sri Chaitanya Prasad, Son of name not known to the petitioner, Principal Secretary, Department Science and Technology, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Sri Sanjib Kumar, Son of not known to the petitioner, Director Science and Technology, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri S. Siddharth, S/o name not known to the petitioner, the Principal Secretary, Department of Finance, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The State of Bihar, through Chaitanya Prasad, Principal Secretary, Department of Science and Technology, Government of Bihar, Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Tara Nath Jha, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajay (GA 5)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

3 01-09-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the opposite parties/contemnors.

2. The present contempt application has been filed on behalf of the petitioners for initiation of a contempt proceeding against the contemnors/opposite parties, for willful and deliberate disobedience of the order dated 16-07-2019, passed in



C.W.J.C. No 13201 of 2014 (Annexure-1).

3. From the supplementary show cause filed on behalf of opposite party Nos. 1, 2 and 4, it appears that dues of petitioners under various heads including arrears of salary, leave encashment, arrears difference arising out of refixation of pension etc., has been paid and the order of this Court dated 16-07-2019, has been substantially complied with.

4. If the petitioners have any grievance with respect to the amount paid, or not yet paid, it would be open for them to approach the Authority concerned.

5. The contempt petition is dismissed.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

shyambihari/-

U			
---	--	--	--

