

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.507 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-398 Year-2022 Thana- RAMNAGAR District- West Champaran

INDAL URAON S/O Late Raghu Uraon R/O Village- Jabka, Tempoo Tola
P.S Ramnagar, District- Bagaha (West Champaran)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

with

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 7840 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-398 Year-2022 Thana- RAMNAGAR District- West Champaran

RAVI URAON SON OF BIHARI URAON R/O VILLAGE- JABKA,
TEMPOO TOLA, P.S.- RAMNAGAR, DISTRICT- BAGAHA (WEST
CHAMPARAN)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 507 of 2023)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shanti Bhushan Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Arun Kumar, APP

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 7840 of 2023)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shanti Bhushan Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.J.N. Thakur, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

6 05-05-2023 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners as well as

Shri J.N. Thakur, the learned APP for the State.

In this case, the petitioners are seeking regular bail in
connection with Ramnagar P.S. Case No. 398 of 2022, registered
for the offences punishable under Sections 302, 120 (B)/34 of the
Indian Penal Code.

The informant got an information that his brother
Kamlesh Chaudhary, is lying on the ground in pool of blood. The



informant went there and saw the dead-body of his brother. He had sustained injuries. It has been mentioned in the FIR that 3-4 days prior to lodging of the FIR, scuffle had taken place between the petitioner Indal Uraon and the deceased and he has threatened him to kill.

The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that they are innocent and have falsely been implicated. None had seen the occurrence.

On the other hand, the learned APP has opposed the prayer for bail and has submitted that the FIR shows itself that the petitioner Indal Urao had threatened the deceased to kill, 3-4 days prior to the occurrence. He has also submitted that the witnesses in paragraph No. 39 and 40 of the case diary have supported the occurrence and named both the petitioners Indal Uraon and Ravi Uraon.

Considering the above-mentioned facts and circumstances, the petitioners do not deserve the privilege of bail. Accordingly, it is rejected.

The learned court below is directed to conclude the trial expeditiously.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

SONALI/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

