

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1471 of 2023

1. Manoj Kumar Son of Ramchandra Prasad Resident of K.P. Lane, Near Mahadeo Mandir, Police Station- Kotwali, District- Gaya.
2. Binod Kumar, Son of Raghuvir Yadav Resident of Village- Durve, Near F.C.I., Police Station- Chandauti, District- Gaya.
3. Dinesh Saw, Son of Ramchandra Saw Resident of Village- Aimachowki, Police Station- Khizersarai, District- Gaya.
4. Dhananjay Kumar Sinha, Son of Ganesh Dutta Prasad Resident of Village- Naudiha, Police Station- Neemchak Bathani, District- Gaya.
5. Chandan Kumar, Son of Sri Vijay Sharma Resident of Village- Parsawan, Police Station- Konch, District- Gaya.
6. Satish Kumar, Son of Sudhir Saw Resident of Village- Lakshuman Bigha, Police Station- Paraiya, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Food and Civil Supplies Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Cooperative Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Secretary, Industries Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Secretary, Food and Consumer Protection Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya.
6. The District Magistrate, Gaya.
7. The District Manager, Bihar State Food Corporation, Gaya.
8. The District Cooperative Officer, Gaya.
9. The Sub Divisional Officer, Sadar, Gaya.
10. The Sub Divisional Officer, Tekari, Gaya.
11. The Sub Divisional Officer, Sherghati, Gaya.
12. The Sub Divisional Officer, Neemchak Bathani, Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Nilendu Kumar with Mr. Manish Kumar No. 2, Advocates
For the State	:	Mr. Bijoy Kr. Sinha, AC to AAG 5
For the BSFC	:	Mr. Shailendra Kr. Singh with Mr. Utkarsh Utpal, Advocates

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 10-10-2023 Heard Mr. Nilendu Kumar, appearing on behalf of the

petitioners; Mr. Bijoy Kumar Sinha, learned counsel for the

State and Mr. Utkarsh Utpal, learned counsel for the Bihar State

Food and Civil Supplies Corporation.



2. The petitioners claimed to be Rice Mill Owners of the district of Gaya filed the present writ petition seeking quashing of the order dated 22.11.2022 contained in Memo No. 5248 issued by the Secretary, Food and Consumer Protection Department, Government of Bihar whereby and whereunder a direction was issued to all the Collectors of the State of Bihar to give priority for manufacturing of *arwa* rice to the rice mills, which are running under the Cooperative Societies (PACS).

3. In course of argument, it has been informed to this Court that the aforementioned impugned letter was issued in compliance of the policy decision taken by the State Government as also in the light of the Notification No. 4744 dated 17.10.2022 for paddy procurement season 2022-23 and now the procurement year has already come to end on 13.09.2023. Thus in any view of the matter, no relief can be granted to the petitioners as the same has become infructuous.

4. In view of the aforementioned facts, the present writ petition stands disposed of, having become infructuous.

(Harish Kumar, J)

Anjani/-

U			
---	--	--	--

