

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1358 of 2023

=====

M/s Jay Baba Sidhnath Enterprises through its Proprietor Rajesh Kumar, age-37 years, Gender-Male, S/o- Ram Naresh Singh, R/o- Rampur Nohta, Post-Fatwah, P.S-Fatwah, Dist-Patna-7

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Commissioner cum Principal Secretary, State Tax, Vikas Bhavan, Bailey road, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Add. Commissioner of State Tax, Patna East Division, Patna.
3. The Joint Commissioner of State Tax, Patna City East Circle, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Shashi Bhushan Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Vikash Kumar (SC- 11)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 21-04-2023

1. The petitioner is aggrieved with the cancellation of registration by Annexure-3 order dated 30.07.2021. An appeal was filed which was delayed, which also stood rejected. Insofar as the Bihar Goods and Services Tax Act is concerned, now there is no Tribunal constituted for the State.

2. In such circumstances, we have looked at the order at Annexure-3, especially since the learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that it is a non-speaking order. We see from the order that there is no reason stated as to why the cancellation was ordered and not even the subject of the notice



is referred to in the order.

3. It is the submission of the learned counsel for the State that the form GST REG-19 has been adopted by the Assessing Officer.

4. We extract form GST REG-19:-

Form GST REG-19
(Part 2 of 2)

Reference No. :
To :
Name :
Address :
GSTIN/UTIN :
Application Reference No. (ARN) :
Date :

Order for Cancellation of Registration

This has reference to your reply dated --- in response to the notice to show cause dated ---.

1. Whether no reply to notice to show cause has been submitted; or

2. Whether on the day fixed for hearing you did not appear; or

3. Whether the undersigned has considered your reply and submissions made at the time of hearing, and is of the opinion that your registration is liable to be cancelled for the following reason(s).

1. _____

2. _____

The effective date of cancellation of your registration is <<DDMMYYYY>>.

Declaration of amount payable and amount to be refunded:

Accordingly, the amount payable by you and the computation and basis thereof is as follows:

The amounts determined as being payable above are without prejudice to any amount that may be found to be payable on submission of final return furnished by you.

You are required to pay the following amounts on or before --- (date) failing which the amount will be recovered in accordance with the provisions of the Act and rules made thereunder:

Head	Central Tax	State Tax	UT Tax	Integrated Tax	Cess
Due					
Interest					
Penalty					
Others					
Total					

Place:
Date:

Signature
& Name of the Officer
Designation
Jurisdiction

5. As we see from the Bihar Goods and Services Taxes Rules, 2017, GST REG-19 has a specific column where reasons have to be assigned. However, the Assessing Officer seems to be laboring under the belief that when an assessee does not appear or an objection is not filed, no reasons have to be assigned.

6. We cannot countenance the said order, especially when there is absolutely no reason stated regarding the cancellation of registration.

7. We also notice the judgment of another Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court passed in *Manoj Kumar Sah versus The State of Bihar and Anr.* in **C.W.J.C. No. 18307 of 2022** wherein it has been stated so:-

" It cannot be disputed that with the passing of the said order, petitioner is liable to both civil and penal consequences. To say the least, the authority ought to have at least referred to the contents of the show cause and the response thereto, which was not done. Not only the order is non-speaking, but cryptic in nature and the reason of cancellation not decipherable therefrom. Principles of natural justice stand violated and the order needs to be quashed as it entails penal and pecuniary consequences. "

8. The impugned order in the instant writ petition also suffers from the very same illegality which has been pointed out by the Division Bench. In such circumstances, we set aside the order at Annexure-3 and direct reconsideration of the issue.

9. In this context, we also notice that notification No. 3 of 2023 has been brought in by the Central Government on the recommendations of the G.S.T. Council wherein if the cancellation has been effected for failure to file returns under



clause (b) or clause (c) of Sub-section (2) of Section 29, there is a further period allowed up to 30.06.2023, wherein the registered person can apply for invocation of cancellation. This is also subject to the condition that the return should be filed up to the effective date of cancellation of registration and the payment of tax, interest, penalty and late fee in respect of such returns have also been made. The assessee would also be entitled to avail of the said remedy if the cancellation has been effected under clause (b) or clause (c) of Sub-section (2) of Section 29.

10. The writ petition stands allowed.

(K. Vinod Chandran, CJ)

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

P.K.P./Anushka

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	25.04.2023
Transmission Date	

