

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.970 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-209 Year-2022 Thana- WARISNAGAR District- Samastipur

VIKASH KUMAR S/O SUSHIL MANDAL Resident of village- Kishanpur
Baikunth Ward No- 10, P.S.- Warisnagar, District- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Pramod Kumar Singh, Advocate. Mr. Pravin Kumar, Advocate.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Mohammed Arif, APP.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 10-02-2023 Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within a period of three weeks from today.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Warisnagar P. S. No. 209 of 2022 registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

As per the prosecution case, total 12.780 litres Indian made foreign liquor was recovered for a husk house (Bhuskar) situated near the house of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that



the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner was not present at the spot. The name of the petitioner has been dragged in this case on the instance of his enemies. The said husk house (Bhuskar) has been situated in an open place which is accessible to anyone. The petitioner has got no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. Learned counsel has submitted that no case is made out against the petitioner. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let



the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned, Samastipur in connection with Warisnagar P. S. No. 209 of 2022, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

shakir/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

