

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76956 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-431 Year-2022 Thana- TARAIYA District- Saran

Imran Siddique S/O Sheikh Samad Ali Village- Makhdumpur, Near Masjid,
Ps. Bhagwanpur Hat, Dist. Siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Nagma Khatoon W/O Imran Siddiqui, D/O Md. Mushtaque Village-
Makhdumpur, Near Masjid, Ps. Bhagwanpur Hat, Dist. Siwan. At Present
Residing At Chhota Gandhi Pur, Ps. Taraiya, Dist. Saran.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Umesh Kumar Mishra
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ramesh Chandra

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

2 04-12-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case
instituted for the offence under Sections 341, 323, 498A/34 of
the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3/4 of the Dowry
Prohibition Act.

3. As per prosecution case, the informant was
solemnized marriage with the petitioner five years ago and out
of the wedlock she gave birth to three children. It is further
alleged that the petitioner and his family members started
pressurizing the informant to bring two lakh rupees from her
maike so that a vehicle could be purchased but the informant



and her family members were unable to arrange the aforesaid demand then the accused persons including the petitioner assaulted the informant, her children and the mother-in-law caused her burn injuries at several places of her body by some kitchen utensil and in the morning, they forcibly took the informant and left her in near her maika.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to dirty village politics. He has committed no offence. Petitioner is the husband of the victim. The petitioner and his family members have never demanded any money from the informant as dowry rather they kept the informant with full honour and dignity. He submitted that earlier the petitioner was granted provisional bail by the learned Court below for a period of three months upon assurance of the petitioner that he will keep the informant with full honour and dignity and the petitioner was keeping the informant with himself with full honour and dignity but she with distorted facts filed an application before the learned Court below regarding ill treatment by the petitioner upon which, the provisional bail granted to the petitioner was cancelled by the Court below. The petitioner has never misbehaved with the informant and the allegation that he



committed marpit with her is false and concocted. He is languishing in judicial custody since 17.08.2023.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case as well as period of custody, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the A.C.J.M., Saran/ Court concerned in connection with Taraiya P.S. Case No. 431 of 2022.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

Arish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

