

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80541 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-645 Year-2021 Thana- MAHUA District- Vaishali

Ranjeet Singh Son Of Late Rudal Singh R/O Village- Singhara Gadh
(Rampur Chandra Bham) @ Dagrū, P.S.- Mahua, Dist.- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Syed Mojibur Rahman, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 20-12-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Mahua
P.S. Case No. 645 of 2021 instituted for the offence under
Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that on
19.10.2021, while the Informant came out from the station, one
person came and requested to drop him at Mahua. On the
request, the Informant took him in the Alto Car bearing
Registration No. BR-06BY-4182, which belongs to the
employer and proceeded to Mahua. In the meantime, two
miscreants intercepted the car on the point of pistol, snatched
his mobile and other valuables and also taken away his Alto car



with them.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that the petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and the same is registered against the holder of the Mobile No. 7970918831 and two unknown persons. The name of the petitioner has surfaced on the confessional statement of the co-accused Aman Kumar and Swet Kumar Singh. Co-accused Swet Kumar Singh has already been granted bail by the Court below itself. He further submits that the other co-accused persons, Aman Kumar and Alok Kumar have also been granted bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide orders dated 11.07.2022 and 07.02.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. Nos. 13429 of 2022 and 60883 of 2022 respectively. The petitioner has altogether five criminal antecedents and in all the cases, he is on bail.

5. The petitioner is in custody since 12.06.2023.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. Considering the rival contentions of the parties as also taking into account the period of custody of the petitioner, let the petitioner, abovenamed, be released on bail on furnishing



bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Mahua P.S. case No. 645 of 2021 subject to following conditions;

(i) The petitioner will cooperate in conclusion of the trial.

(ii) He will remain present on each and every date of trial till disposal of the case.

(iii) He will not try to tamper with the evidence or intimidate the witnesses to delay the disposal of the trial.

(iv) In the event of default of two consecutive dates without any cogent reason, his bail bonds will liable to be cancelled.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

