

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.612 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-112 Year-2022 Thana- SONEPUR District- Saran

=====

DHARMENDRA NUT SON OF LATE BARET NUT @ RAJENDRA NUT
R/O- KAUSHAL NAGAR (JAGJEEVAN NAGAR) NEAR CHITKOHRA
OVERBRIDGE, P.S.- HAWAI ADDA, DISTT.- PATNA.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ajay Kumar Pandey

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Jharkhandi Upadhyay

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 23-03-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Sonpur (Pahleja Ghat O.P.) P.S. Case No.112 of 2022, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 414 and 401 of the Indian Penal Code.

The informant, who is a Police Sub-Inspector got secret information that petitioner and other co-accused persons are making plan to commit *dacoity* and theft in jewellery and clothes shops. It is also alleged that when the informant gave signal to stop the Scorpio vehicle, the petitioner fled away from the place of occurrence after leaving his vehicle.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. It is submitted that the petitioner has got three criminal antecedents as stated in paragraph-3 of the bail petition. It is further submitted that the petitioner is made accused in the present case on the basis of secret information. It is also submitted that the Scorpio vehicle does not belong to the petitioner. No incriminating articles has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner.

Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner by submitting that the police recovered vehicle lock cutting machine, torch and two knives from the said Scorpio vehicle.

Taking into consideration the nature of offence, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

S.KUMAR/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

