

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2228 of 2023

=====

Pawan Kumar Son of Ramcharitra Singh, Resident of Village- Shekhpura,
P.S.- Neemchak Bathani, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Department of Home (Police), Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector, Gaya.
3. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Gaya.
4. The Circle Officer, Neemchak Bathani, District- Gaya.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shivendra Prasad, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Irshad, AC to SC-1

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 19-04-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

State.

Petitioner in the present case is seeking a direction to
the respondent authorities to take a decision on his application
for appointment as Chaukidar/ Dafadar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
father of the petitioner was working as Chaukidar and was given
compulsory retirement in the year 2014 because of his physical
appearance. Learned counsel, however, submits that the said
compulsory retirement was not challenged. One year after the
said retirement, this petitioner filed an application seeking
appointment on compassionate ground.

Learned counsel submits that so far as the Bihar



Chaukidar Cadre (Amendment) Rules, 2014 is concerned, the rule does not provide for any such claim for appointment. It is admitted that in law the petitioner has no right to claim such appointment.

Mr. Irshad, learned counsel for the State is present.

In view of the admitted position that the petitioner has no right to claim appointment on the post of Chaukidar after compulsory retirement of his father, this writ application is dismissed.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

tusharika/-

U			
---	--	--	--

