

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.235 of 2023

=====

Suman Kumari Wife of Ravi Chaudhary Resident of ward no.- 12, Village-
Ure Vishunpur, P.S.- Tekari, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Director, Integrated Child Development Services, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Integrated Child Development Services, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate Cum Collector, Gaya, Bihar.
4. The District Program Officer, Gaya.
5. Child Development Project Officer, Block- Tikari.
6. Shushila Kumari, Wife of Suraj Chaudhari Resident of Village Ur Bishunpur, Panchayat Chaita, Block- Tikari, P.S.- Alipur, District- Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Uday Prakash Shrarma, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Ms.Kumari Amrita, GP-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 25-02-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

Petitioner in the present case is seeking a direction to the District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Gaya (respondent no.3) to hear and dispose of the Anganwari Appeal No.01/202 (Annexure-5) against the order cancelling the selection of the petitioner as Anganwari Sevika.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn the attention of this Court towards Annexure-5 to the writ application to submit that it is the memorandum of appeal filed before the District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Gaya which is



pending consideration for about two years.

Learned counsel for the State submits that this Court may issue an appropriate direction to respondent no.3 to consider the appeal and pass an appropriate reasoned order thereon within a stipulated period.

In the kind of reliefs prayed in this writ application, it is being disposed of with a direction to the District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Gaya (Respondent no.3) to hear the appeal and dispose it of by a reasoned order within a period of three months from the date of receipt/communication of a copy of this order. The respondent no.3 must consider the appeal within the stipulated period, failing which the petitioner will be at liberty to move this Court for an appropriate order.

If any selection process is initiated during the pendency of the Anganwari Appeal, the same will be subject to result of the decision in this case.

This writ application stands disposed of accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

arvind/-

U			
---	--	--	--

