

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77334 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-218 Year-2023 Thana- PANDARAK District- Patna

1. Awadhesh Kumar @ Awadhesh Ray @ Tikra Son Of Nago Yadav R/O Vill - Tenda Par, P.S. - Pandarak, Dist. - Patna
2. Munna Yadav Son Of Karu Yadav R/O Vill - Tenda Par, P.S. - Pandarak, Dist. - Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar Pandey, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ramesh Chandra, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 20-12-2023 Learned counsel for the petitioners is permitted to remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within a period of three weeks from today.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

3. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in a case in connection with Pandarak P.S. Case No. 218 of 2023 for the offence/s punishable u/s 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

4. As per the prosecution case, total 30 litres of illicit liquor was recovered from the spot which was allegedly thrown by the petitioners..

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that



the petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioners. The petitioners have no concern with the alleged recovery. The said recovery is made from an open place that is accessible to anyone. Local *Choukidar* disclosed the name of the petitioners. The petitioners have no criminal antecedents as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. Learned counsel has submitted that no case is made out against the petitioners. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of the Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the material available on record, let the above named petitioners, in the event of their arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the



learned court concerned, Patna in connection with Pandarak P.S.
Case No. 218 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under
section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

nilmani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

