

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.254 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-385 Year-2021 Thana- DAUDNAGAR District- Aurangabad

UJJAWAL RAY @ GOLU Son of Upendra Ray Resident of village -
Asalempur Rai Tola, P.S.- Daudnagar, District - Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Arbind Kumar Son of Late Birendra Rai Village - Dihri, P.S.- Dhanmai,
District - Bhojpur

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bindeshwar Prasad Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jharkhandi Upadhyay, Addl. Public Prosecutor

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 08-08-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, the State and
the opposite party no.2.

The petitioner who is husband of daughter of
opposite party no. 2 apprehends arrest in a case registered for
the offence punishable under Sections 498 (A)/ 34 of the Indian
Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner offers and undertakes that he is ready to give
maintenance amount of Rs. 2000/-per month, starting from this
month, to daughter of opposite party no. 2.



In view of the undertaking of learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is ready to give maintenance amount of Rs. 2000/- per month, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, above-named petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of S. D. J . M , Daudnagar in connection with Daudnagar P.S. case No . 385 of 2021, subject to the conditions laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure as well as on the following conditions:-

(1) Daughter of Opposite party no. 2 would file an affidavit before the court below and bring on record her saving bank account number for its communication to the petitioner.

(2) Petitioner would deposit the aforesaid maintenance amount per month in the saving bank account of the daughter of opposite party no. 2.

(3) In case, the petitioner fails to deposit the maintenance amount for two consecutive months, the court below would be at liberty to cancel the bail-bond.

(4) The aforementioned payment will be subject to any order passed in matrimonial, maintenance or connected proceedings. The present order, in no way, will preclude the



parties to resolve the issue otherwise.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Kaushik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

