

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77495 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-290 Year-2023 Thana- ARA MUFFSIL District- Bhojpur

Lal Das Yadav @ Laldas Rai Son Of Nath Narayan Roy R/O Vill -
Mahkampur Bara, P.S. - Ara Mufassil, Distt. - Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Akash Kumar Mishra, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey, A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 20-12-2023 Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to

remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any,

within a period of three weeks from today.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

3. The Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in

connection with Ara (Mufassil) P.S Case No. 290 of 2023

dated 08.07.2023 for the offences punishable u/s 30(a) of

the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

4. As per the prosecution case, total 30 litres of

illicit liquor was recovered from the co-accused person

Dhanji Kumar @ Bittu Kumar.



5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. It is further submitted that apprehended co-accused namely, Dhanji Kumar @ Bittu Kumar, disclosed the name of the petitioner. The petitioner has one criminal antecedent as stated at para 3 of the bail petition. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.



7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Bhojpur, Ara in connection with Ara (Mufassil) P.S Case No. 290 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Nilmani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

