

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74387 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1854 Year-2019 Thana- GAYA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Gaya

=====

HARENDRA KUMAR Son of Late Mohan Singh Resident of Village-
Bhaiswan, P.S.- Masaurhi, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
 2. Radhika Kumari Wife of Sri Harendra Kumar, D/O Sri Udit Prasad Suman
Resident of Village- Saddopur, P.S.- Alipur, District- Gaya
- Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr.Chandra Mohan Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Arun Kumar, Addl Public Prosecutor
for the complainant		Mr. Aditya Pandey, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 05-05-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, the State
and the opposite party no.2.

The petitioner, who is husband of opposite party no.2,
apprehends arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable
under Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner offers and undertakes that he is ready to give
maintenance amount of Rs. 3000/-per month, starting from this
month to the opposite party no.2.

In view of the undertaking of learned counsel for the
petitioner that petitioner is ready to give maintenance amount of
Rs. 3000/- per month, in the event of arrest/surrender within a
period of six weeks from today, above-named petitioner be
enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten
thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the
satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gaya in Complaint
Case No. 1854 of 2019/ Tr No.1315/2021, subject to the



conditions laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure as well as on the following conditions:-

(1) Opposite party no.2. would file an affidavit before the court below and bring on record her saving bank account number for its communication to the petitioner.

(2) Petitioner would deposit the aforesaid maintenance amount per month in the saving bank account of the opposite party no.2.

(3) In case, the petitioner fails to deposit the maintenance amount for two consecutive months, the court below would be at liberty to cancel the bail-bond.

(4) The aforementioned payment will be subject to any order passed in matrimonial, maintenance or connected proceedings. The present order, in no way, will preclude the parties to resolve the issue otherwise.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

