

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77707 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-122 Year-2023 Thana- JAYNAGAR District- Madhubani

Arun Yadav @ Arun Kumar Son Of Munni Yadav R/O Village- 1,K, Khuadh,
Kuar, P.S.- Jaynagar, District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Gagan Deo Yadav, Adv. Mr. Ravi Prakash, Advocate Mr. Udeshya Kumar Yadav, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Lakshmi Kant Sharma, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 21-12-2023 Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within a period of three weeks from today.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

3. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in connection with Jaynagar P.S. Case No. 122 of 2023 dated 20.03.2023 for the offence/s punishable u/ss 272, 273, 414 read with section 34 of the IPC and sections 30(a), 41 of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

4. As per the prosecution case, total 180 litres of illicit Nepali country made liquor was recovered from three



motorcycles which were parked in a mango orchard .

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner is neither the owner nor the driver of the said motorcycles. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. Local Choukidar disclosed the name of the petitioner. Similarly situated co-accused has already been granted bail by the co-ordinated bench of this court vide order dated 05.07.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 41739 of 2023. The petitioner has two other criminal antecedents as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. Learned counsel has submitted that no case is made out against the petitioner. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently



opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the material available on record, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Madhubani in connection with Jaynagar P.S. Case No. 122 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

guddukr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

