

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74311 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-389 Year-2022 Thana- SASARAM MUFFSIL District- Rohtas

VINOD @ PINIYA PASI, (M), aged about 28 years, Son of Kedar Pasi, R/v-
Shivganj, Amra Talab, P.S.- Sasaram (Mufassil), District- Rohtas at Sasaram.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Shankar Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 20-04-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Sasaram (Mufassil) P.S. Case No. 389 of 2022 for the offence registered under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise (Amendment) Act, 2018.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that total 320 liters wine is said to have been recovered from the car in question.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. There is no allegation of tampering with the witnesses alleged against the petitioner. It is alleged that



total 320 liters wine is recovered from the car in question. The car in question does not belong to the petitioner. The petitioner is named in the F.I.R. The name of the petitioner has transpired in the present case on the basis of disclosure made by the local residents. The name of the local residents, who have named the petitioner, has not been disclosed by the prosecution. Except for this, there is no other substantive evidence to suggest the implication of the petitioner in this case. Nothing incriminating has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner had no knowledge regarding the alleged incident. There is no compliance of Section 100 Cr. P.C. Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed reliance upon the order dated 13.04.2022 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Criminal Appeal No. 626 of 2022, arising out of SLP (Crl.) No. 3005 of 2022 (Sweta Kumari-Versus-The State of Bihar)*.

On behalf of the State, it is submitted that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R/ Complaint Case.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest/surrender



before the learned court below within a period of twelve weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Exclusive Special Excise Court No. 2-cum-Additional District & Sessions Judge, Rohtas at Sasaram, in connection with Sasaram (Mufassil) P.S. Case No. 389 of 2022, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

