

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74619 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-55 Year-2022 Thana- BHAIKAVSHTHAN District- Madhubani

RANJU DEVI Wife of Santosh Thakur R/V- Mahinathpur Samiya, P.S-
Bhairabsthan Dist- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Baleshwar Kamat, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajit Kumar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 19-05-2023 Heard Mr. Baleshwar Kamat, learned counsel

appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Ajit Kumar,

learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in connection with Bhairabsthan P.S. Case No. 55 of 2022, dated 16.03.2022, G.R. No. 454 of 2022, registered under Sections 420, 406 and 409 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner was the Mukhiya at the relevant time when the offences had allegedly taken place. It is submitted that the entire Government works allotted to the Panchayat has already been completed and there is no defalcation of the Government money.



4. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the aforesaid facts and the statement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail, in the event of her arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M.-1st, Jhanjharpur, Madhubani in connection with Bhairabsthan P.S. Case No. 55 of 2022, G.R. No. 454 of 2022, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

6. The Court Below is directed to release the petitioner after verifying from the record as to whether the work has been completed satisfactorily given by the S.D.O.

7. If, it is found that the work has not been completed, in that case, this order will lose its force automatically.

8. The Court below is further directed to verify the



criminal antecedent of the petitioner and if it is found that the petitioner is involved in some other cases as what has been stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail application, this order will automatically lose its force.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

pravinkumar/-

U			
---	--	--	--

