

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77844 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-614 Year-2021 Thana- RAJAOLI District- Nawada

=====

Hulas Singh, Son Of Somar Singh Resident Of Village - Baherwatari
(KALIMANDA), P.S. - Rajauli, District - Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pramod Kumar Verma, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nityanand, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 21-12-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Rajauli P.S. Case No. 614 of 2021 dated 27.11.2021 for the offences punishable u/s 30(a) (c) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 40 litres of country made *mahua* liquor was recovered from two plastic gallon and different apparatus for wine manufacturing were recovered. It is further alleged that 600 litres of fermented *jawa mahua* was also recovered which was destroyed.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. The



petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out against the petitioner. It is further submitted that the petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.



20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Nawada in connection with Rajauli P.S. Case No. 614 of 2021, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Gautam/-

U			
---	--	--	--

