

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75999 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-46 Year-2021 Thana- Excise P.S. District- Madhepura

VIKASH KUMAR @ VIKASH CHOUDHARY Son of Dilip Choudhary  
Resident of Village - Udakishunganj (Harali), Ward No.- 05, P.S.-  
Udakishunganj, District - Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Alok Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH  
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2      13-12-2023                      Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to  
remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within  
a period of three weeks from today.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

3. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in  
connection with Excise Case No. 393 of 2021, arising out of  
Excise P.S. Case No. 46 of 2021-22 dated 06.06.2021 for the  
offence/s punishable u/s 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and  
Excise Act.

4. As per the prosecution case, total 17 litres of illicit  
Chulai liquor was recovered beside the house of the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted



that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The recovery was made from an open place that is accessible to anyone. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. Learned counsel has submitted that no case is made out against the petitioner. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the material available on record, let the



above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Madhepura in connection with Excise Case No. 393 of 2021, arising out of Excise P.S. Case No. 46 of 2021-22, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

8. The application stands allowed.

**(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)**

guddukr/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

