

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.173 of 2023

1. Chaudhary Hassanuzzaman Son of Chaudhary Shamshaduzzaman, permanent resident of Village-Lakhaminiya, P.S.-Balua, District-Begusarai and presently resident of Dhipatoli, Pundag, P.S.-Jagarnathpur, District-Ranchi.
2. Najmusehar Bano, Wife of Late Chaudhari Shahbanuzzamma, resident of Village-Lakhaminiya, P.S.-Balua, District-Begusarai.
3. Chaudhari Salamanuzzama, son of Chaudhari Shamahusudazzama, resident of Village-Lakhaminiya, P.S.-Balua, District-Begusarai and presently resident of Dhipatoli, Pundag, P.S.-Jagarnathpur, District-Ranchi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Collectorate Begusarai, District-Begusarai.
3. The Treasury Officer, Treasury Office Begusarai, District-Begusarai.
4. The State Bank of India through Chief General Manager, Patna Region, Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
5. The Chief General Manager, State Bank of India, Patna Region, Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
6. The Regional Manager, State Bank of India, Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
7. The Chief Manager, State Bank of India, Lakhaminiya Branch, P.O.-Lakhaminiya, P.S.-Balua, District-Begusarai.
8. The Branch Manager, State Bank of India, Lakhaminiya Branch, P.O.-Lakhaminiya, P.S.-Balua, District-Begusarai.
9. The Accountant General, Bihar, office of the Accountant General (A and E) Bihar, Patna, Beerchand Patel Marg, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Rashid Izhar, Advocate
For Accountant General	:	Dr. Anand Kumar, Advocate
For the SBI	:	Mr. Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Vivek Anand Amritesh, AC to SC-28

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

4 17-05-2023 Heard the parties.

It has been jointly submitted by the learned counsel
for the petitioner and the Bank that the payment has now been



cleared.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the petitioner no.2 is “Pardanashin” lady, the Bank may be directed to specify a date on which day she will visit and all the process be completed.

Mr. Rakesh Kumar Singh, learned counsel representing the Bank fairly submits that he on his own will request/inform the bank official to do the needful, once the petitioners fulfill all the criteria.

In view of the fair submission made by learned counsel for the Bank, the petition stands disposed of.

It goes without saying that the Bank will take all positive steps and see to it that the process is completed on the day they fix the date and the petitioner no.2 visits the Bank.

So far as the other grievance of petitioners is/are concerned, they always have the remedy to agitate the same.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Prakash Narayan /
Sunil

U			
---	--	--	--

