

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73643 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-148 Year-2023 Thana- PIYAR District- Muzaffarpur

Dharmendra Kumar @ Dharmendra Sahni S/O- Mandan Sahni R/O- Village-
Bargaw, P.S.- Pear, Dist.- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/S

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.R.Prasad, Advocate
	:	Mrs.Nitu Kumari, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Ajay Kumar No. 2, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 09-11-2023 Heard Mr.R.Prasad, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr.Ajay Kumar No. 2, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with Pear P.S.Case No.148 of 2023,FIR dated
22.07.2023 registered for the offences punishable under Section
30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. Recovery is of 22 liters of illegal Desi Chulai
liquor.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has clean antecedent. He has falsely been implicated
in the present case on the basis of the secret information. Further
submits that from a bare perusal of the FIR it appears that 22



liters of illegal Desi Chulai liquor has been recovered from the Glamour Motorcycle in question which was parked in front of the house of Shambhu Sahni at Village-Nunfara and petitioner is resident of village- Bargaw and he has no concern at all with alleged recovery of illicit liquor or the motorcycle in question and except the secret information, no other material has come during investigation against the petitioner to suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence. There is non-compliance with mandatory procedure prescribed for recovery under Section 100 of Cr.P.C. No case, whatsoever, would be made out against the petitioners under the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner referring the provision contained in Section 76(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and submitted that the pre-arrest bail would not be maintainable.

6. This Court is aware of the decision of the Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav Vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. Having regard to the law laid down in the aforesaid judgment and the submission advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court for the limited purpose of grant



of anticipatory bail, is inclined to accept the submission of Counsel for the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts, petitioner has clean antecedent, nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner and the name of the petitioner has been transpired on the basis of the secret information, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000 (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Exclusive Special Judge Excise Court No.-II, Muzaffarpur in connection with Pear P.S.Case No.148 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and with other following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move



for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his/her criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

