

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18129 of 2022

-
1. Madhu Kumari W/o- Jitendra Kumar Das, R/o- Village- Ashram Road, Ward No- 15, P.S.- Araria, District- Araria, at Present working as Block Teacher at Government Upgraded Middle School, Salaigadh, Block- Araria, District- Araria.
 2. Kumari Archana Verma @ Archana Kumari, W/o Arvind Kumar, R/o Village- Babhangawan, Ward No- 09, P.S.- Bihariganj, District- Madhepura at Present working as Block Teacher at Government Upgraded Middle School Sisauna, Block- Araria, District- Araria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Primary Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Collector-Cum- District Magistrate, District- Araria.
5. The District Education Officer, Araria, District- Araria.
6. The District Programme Officer (Establishment) District- Araria.
7. The Block Education Officer, Araria, Block and District- Araria.
8. The Block Teachers Employment Unit, Araria, through its Member Secretary-Cum- Block Panchayat Raj Officer, Araria, Block- Araria, District- Araria.
9. The Pramukh-Cum- Chairman of Block Teachers Employment Unit, Araria, Block Araria, District- Araria.
10. The Block Panchayat Raj Officer-Cum- Member Secretary of Block Teacher Employment Unit, Araria, Block- Araria, District- Araria.
11. The Head Mistress/ Incharge Head Mistress, Government Middle School-Cum- Upgraded Secondary School, Hariyabara, Block- Araria, District- Araria.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mrityunjay Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Smt. Binita Singh (Sc28)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

ORAL ORDER

2 06-01-2023

1. Heard the parties through Virtual Mode.



2. One of the contention raised by the learned counsel for the petitioner is that in the transfer order reason should be provided for transferring.

3. However, in view of the provisions, it is not necessary that reason should be recorded in the transfer order. The State Appellate Authority has examined the case on factual aspects.

4. No interference is warranted. The writ petition is mis-conceived and accordingly dismissed.

(Sanjeev Prakash Sharma, J)

Pravinkumar/-

Item No. 85.

U			
---	--	--	--

