

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76974 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-261 Year-2023 Thana- ROSERA District- Samastipur

=====

DHIRAJ KUMAR CHOUDHARY @ DHIRAJ CHOUDHARY SON OF
SUSHIL CHOUDHARY RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- BALLIPUR
PARSURAM, WARD NO 9, P.S.- SHIVAJI NAGAR, DISTRICT-
SAMASTIPUR

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bindeswari Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 19-12-2023 Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to

remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within

a period of three weeks from today.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

3. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in

connection with Rosera P.S. Case No. 261 of 2023 dated

14.04.2023 for the offence/s punishable u/ss 272, 273, 420, 467,

468, 471 and 120B of the IPC and sections 30(a), 30(b), 32, 36,

41(1) and 62 of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

4. As per the prosecution case, total 2285 litres of

illicit liquor was recovered from five different vehicles near the



poultry farm of Sri Ram Mandal situated at Kacha road.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The said vehicle was not being driven by the petitioner at the time of alleged occurrence. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. The name of the petitioner has sprung up on the basis of suspicion. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. Learned counsel has submitted that no case is made out against the petitioner. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this



case.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the material available on record, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Samastipur in connection with Rosera P.S. Case No. 261 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

guddukr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

