

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4142 of 2019

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9888 of 2017

=====

Ram Swaroop Prasad @ Ram Swaroop Singh, Male, aged about 75 years, S/o Late Girdhari Prasad @ Girdhari Mahto, Resident of Village- Akair, Police Station- Chandi, District- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Sri Brajesh Malhotara, The Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri Jogendra Singh, The District Magistrate, Nalanda at Biharsharif.
4. Sri Vaibhav Choudhary, The Sub Divisional Officer, Hilsa, Nalanda.
5. Sri Ritesh Kumar, The Block Development Officer, Nagarnausa, District- Nalanda.
6. Sri Arbind Kumar, the then Block Development Officer, Nagarnausa, P.S. Nagarnausa, District- Nalanda.
7. Sri Suresh Prasad, The Circle Officer, Nagarnausa, P.S. Nagarnausa, District- Nalanda.
8. Kumar Vimal Prakash, the then Circle Officer, Nagarnausa, P.S. Nagarnausa, Hilsa, District- Nalanda.
9. Sri Shankar Pandit, the then Mukhiya, Son of Sidheshwar Pandit, Resident of Village- Saidanpur, P.O. Damodarpur Baldha, Police Station-Nagarnausa, District- Nalanda.
10. Sri Prakash Mahto @ Doman Mahto, S/o Late Ramashish Mahto, Resident of Village- Akair, P.O. Akair, P.S. Chandi, District- Nalanda.
11. Sri Surya Prakash, Son of Late Ramashish Mahto, Resident of Village- Akair, P.O. Akair, P.S. Chandi, District- Nalanda.
12. Rajesh Prasad, Son of Brij Nandan Prasad, Resident of Village- Akair, P.O. Akair, P.S. Chandi, District- Nalanda.

... .. Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Umesh Kumar Verma, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Sajid Salim Khan, S.C. 25

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 25-08-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.



2. A show cause has been filed on behalf of the opposite party no. 3, the District Magistrate, Nalanda at Biharsharif, stating that the order of this Court dated 17.01.2019, passed in C.W.J.C. No. 9888 of 2017, has already been complied with and a final order has already been passed. Hence, no further order is required to be passed in the present contempt application.

3. Considering the same, the present contempt application stands disposed of.

4. The petitioner, if so advised, may challenge the order passed by the opposite party no. 3, before the competent court or forum.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

