

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73718 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-133 Year-2023 Thana- ARER District- Madhubani

=====

Amit Kumar Jha @ Amit Jha @ Amit Kumar Sabhapati Jha Son Of Sabhapati
Jha Resident Of Village -Arer Dih Tola, Ps- Arer, Distt- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/S

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bhavesh Kumar Sah, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Mukesh Kumar Singh,APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 09-11-2023 Heard Mr.Bhavesh Kumar Sah, learned counsel for

the petitioner and Mr.Mukesh Kumar Singh, learned Additional

Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Arer P.S.Case No.133 of 2023,FIR dated 31.08.2023 (G.R.No.1308 of 2023)registered for the offences punishable under Sections 272,273,34 of IPC and Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. Recovery is of 219.96 liters of illicit liquor.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent. He has falsely been implicated in the present case. Further submits that it appears from the FIR that nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner rather the recovery has been made from the



Mango Orchard of Atal Jha and petitioner and other co-accused persons have escaped away from the place of occurrence. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it appears that nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner and he alleges that the petitioner was escaped from the place of occurrence. There is non-compliance with mandatory procedure prescribed for recovery under Section 100 of Cr.P.C. No case, whatsoever, would be made out against the petitioners under the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner referring the provision contained in Section 76(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and submitted that the pre-arrest bail would not be maintainable.

6. This Court is aware of the decision of the Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav Vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. Having regard to the law laid down in the aforesaid judgment and the submission advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court for the limited purpose of grant of anticipatory bail, is inclined to accept the submission of Counsel for the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts, petitioner has clean



antecedent, nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000 (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned 2nd Addl. Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, Excise Act, Madhubani in connection with Arer P.S.Case No.133 of 2023,FIR dated 31.08.2023 (G.R.No.1308 of 2023), subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and with other following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at



any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his/her criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

