

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73544 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-64 Year-2023 Thana- BISFI District- Madhubani

=====

Ram Shankar Paswan Son Of Late Yogi Paswan Resident Of Village Dudhail,
Police Station- Bisfi, Dist- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bhavesh Kumar Sah, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Singh, A.P.P.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 29-11-2023 Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to

remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within

a period of three weeks from today.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

3. The Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with Bisfi P.S Case No. 64 of 2023, G.R. No. 331 of
2023 dated 27.02.2023 for the offences punishable u/ss 272, 273
read with 34 of the Indian Penal Code and 30(a) of the Bihar
Prohibition and Excise Act.

4. As per the prosecution case, total 3 litres of illicit
liquor has been recovered from the backside of the shop of the
co-accused Ram Babu Paswan, who got apprehended on the



spot.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. It is further submitted that the said illegal liquor is recovered from the backside of the shop of the co-accused Rambabu Paswan, so far the petitioner has no concern with the said illegal liquor as well as shop. It is further submitted that the name of the petitioner was disclosed by the co-accused persons. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated at para 3 of the bail petition. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by



submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Madhubani in connection with Bisfi P.S. Case No. 64 of 2023, G.R. No. 331 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Nilmani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

