

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75196 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-329 Year-2023 Thana- MURLIGANJ District- Madhepura

Vivek Kumar @ Vivek Singh S/O Chunchun Singh Resident Of Village-
Bhatkhora Musharnia Rahi, P.S- Murliganj District-Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Barun Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Anzarul Haque Sahara

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 07-12-2023 Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to
remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within
a period of three weeks from today.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

3. The Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with Murliganj P.S. Case No. 329 of 2023 dated
12.08.2023 for the offences punishable u/s 30(a), 32(ii),(iii),
41(i)(ii) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

4. As per the prosecution case, total 3792 litres of
illicit liquor has been recovered from the truck and the pickup
van.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted
that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. The



petitioner is accused in two other criminal cases as stated at para 3 of the bail petition. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. The name of the petitioner has sprung up in the confessional statement of the co-accused. The other co-accused person has already been granted bail by the Co-ordinate Bench *vide* order dated 07.11.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 71131 of 2023. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the



petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Madhepura in connection with Murliganj P.S. Case No. 329 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

atul/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

