

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71166 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-424 Year-2022 Thana- PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Patna

=====

SANOJ KUMAR SINGH S/O Mahendra Singh R/O village- New
Mubarakpur, P.S- Shahpur, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Smt. Ranjana Devi W/O Dharmendra Prasad R/O Mohalla- New Defence
Colony, Raghurampur Chandmari, Danaour Cant, P.S- Shahpur, District-
Patna

.. ... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Jitendra Narain Sinha
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Amit Kumar Rakesh
	Mr. Satish Chandra
	Mr. Vivek Raj

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 14-03-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel
for the informant and learned APP for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered
for the offence punishable under Sections 420, 406, 409 and
120B of the Indian Penal Code.

The allegation against the petitioner is that he and other
accused persons had fraudulently got invested more than Rs.45
lacs from complainant and other witnesses with false promise of
doubling the amount in a few years and failed to pay back
money; the cheque issued by the accused persons have allegedly
bounced.



It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and have committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. No such occurrence, in the manner as alleged, has ever taken place. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is not specific rather general and omnibus in nature. There is no specific overt act against the petitioner. He submits that the petitioner himself became victim as he has also invested Rs.24,55,000/- at the instance of co-accused Bishal Gope. He submits that there was no transaction of a single farthing in between the petitioner or the prosecution party. He further submits that all the transactions are between the family members of the complainant and Bishal Gope. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent, as also mentioned in para-3 of the bail application.

Learned APP for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail, in the event of his/her arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned



court below where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Complaint Case No.424 (C) of 2022, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

Shikha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

