

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.70269 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-637 Year-2022 Thana- GAYA MUFASIL District- Gaya

RAJEEV RANJAN KUMAR @ RAJEEV RANJAN @ RAJEEV KUMAR
S/o Sri Baldeo Chaudhary R/v- Panditpur (Ganjpar), P.S.- Rajgir, District-
Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shailesh Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shahabuddin Azeem @ S. Azeem, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 16-05-2023 Heard the learned counsel for the
petitioner and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 637 of 2022 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 384/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The case of the prosecution, in brief, as per the written information of the informant i.e. the Circle Officer, Manpur, Gaya is that the work of measurement for the purposes of widening of road, was given to the petitioner and two other co-accused persons who are contractual Amins, whereafter the measurement report was submitted by the petitioner on 09.06.2022 and then an objection was raised by some persons on



16.07.2022, wherein they had also made a complaint that the petitioner and other co-accused persons had demanded a sum of Rs. Two lacs.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated in the present case and he is having a clean antecedent. The learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to Annexure-3 to the present petition to submit that the services of the petitioner has already been terminated, hence he has been sufficiently punished. It is submitted that no such incident, as alleged, has ever taken place and in fact the petitioner had on the contrary opposed the demand of bribe, which is also apparent from the viral audio, transcript whereof has been published in the newspaper.

Per contra, the learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the



petitioner and taking into account the materials available on record as also considering the fact that the services of the petitioner has now stood terminated, I deem it fit and proper to admit the petitioner herein to the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest/surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate-1st Class-cum-A.M. Gaya in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 637 of 2022, subject to the conditions as stipulated under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/sonal-

U			
---	--	--	--

