

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.24871 of 2019**

=====

Sohan Kumar son of Umesh Mahto, Resident of village and Post- Samastipur,  
Police Station- Sahebpur, District- Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, State of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Directorate of Integrated Chief Development Service, Social Welfare Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate- cum- Collector, Begusarai.
4. The Child Development Project Officer (C.D.P.O.) Sahebpur, District- Begusarai.
5. The Block Development Officer, Sahebpur, District- Begusarai.
6. The Mukhia Gram Panchayat of Samastipur, Block, District- Begusarai.
7. The Panchayat Sevak, Gram Panchayat of Samastipur, Block, District- Begusarai.
8. Savita Kumari, W/o Raj Kumar Mahto, Resident of Samastipur Ward No. 4, P.S. Sahebpur Kamal, Dist-Begusarai.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sahdab Alam, Advocate  
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Md. Raisul Haque (Sc10)

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      24-07-2023                      Heard Mr. Shadab Alam learned counsel appearing  
for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner wants the respondent no. 8, the  
Aganwari Sevika working in Aganwari Centre No. 45, Ward No.  
7 under Sahebpur Kamal in the district of Begusarai to go on the  
ground that she is not the resident of Anganwari Centre.

After some arguments, learned counsel for the  
petitioner submits that he will be submitting appropriate



representation before the appropriate authority.

It has been informed by learned State counsel that the District Programme officer Begusarai will be the appropriate authority where the petitioner can agitate the matter, if he has necessary documents, as the annexures in the writ petition do not have any supporting documents.

If the petitioner prefers a representation before the District Programme Officer Begusarai and/or the appropriate authority who has to look into the matter, the same shall be considered and appropriate order will be passed in accordance with law after putting the said Anganwari Sevika on notice.

If it is found that the petition is frivolous, the respondent authority has liberty to impose cost on the petitioner.

The entire exercise be completed within a period of three months from the date of filing of the petition/representation/documents by the petitioner.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

Jagdish/Jyoti/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

