

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71332 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-26 Year-2022 Thana- ROHTAS COMPLAINT CASE District-
Rohtas

=====

Noushad Ali @ Girdhari Son of Late Samsad Khan Resident of Mohalla-
Jakki Shahid (Sasaram), P.S.- Sasaram Nagar, District- Rohtas at Sasaram.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Mohan Kumar Saw Son of Late Laxmi Narayan Saw Resident of Mohalla-
Chavar Takiya, P.O. and P.S.- Sasaram Nagar, District- Rohtas at Sasaram.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Babu Nandan Prasad, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Satyendra Prasad, APP
For the Complainant	:	Mr. Lallan Pandey, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

3 28-02-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP

for the State as well as learned counsel appearing on behalf of

the complainant.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Complaint Case No.26 of 2022, registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 406 and 420 of the Indian Penal
Code.

It is alleged that the petitioner being in collusion with
co-accused, Om Prakash Kumar extracted money from the
complainant by way of deceiving with a view to grab the money
of the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. The petitioner has got no criminal antecedent as stated in paragraph-3 of the bail petition. It is further submitted that petitioner has no role to play in the instant case. The petitioner is only the mediator and no money was given to the petitioner by the complainant.

Learned APP for the State as well as learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner by submitting that the petitioner is also involved in the instant case and he is a land broker.

Taking into consideration the facts aforesaid, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

S.KUMAR/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

