

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18559 of 2022

Manini Jaiswal, wife of Kumar Vaibhav resident of E-8, Phase 2, Ashiyana
Nagar, Phulwari, Patna, Bihar- 800025.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Bihar, Main Secretariat, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate cum District Election Officer Sasaram, Rohtas.
3. The Superintendent of Police Sasaram, Rohtas.
4. The Chief Election Commissioner Bihar Mangles Road Patna.
5. The Deputy Election Officer, Sasaram, Rohtas.
6. The Special Vigilance Unit, Government of Bihar, Patna through Additional Director General of Police SVU, 5, Daroga Rai Path Patna.
7. S.K. Offset Printers, G.T. Road, Sasaram-821115 (Rohtas).
8. Jay Multimedia, Below Hotel Jay Deluxe, G.T. Road, Inderchand Market, Sasaram (Rohtas).

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms.Ankita Kumari, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.P.K. Verma (AAG-3)
		Mr. Siddhartha Prasad, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY

ORAL ORDER
(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

(The proceedings of the Court are being conducted by Hon'ble the Chief Justice/ Hon'ble Judges through Video Conferencing from their residential offices/residences. Also, the Advocates and the Staffs joined the proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residences/offices.)



2 20-01-2023

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

- (i) For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondent authorities Special Vigilance Unit(SVU) to institute formal FIR with regard to the fraudulent withdrawal of huge amount of money laundering during Lok Sabha Election, 2022.
- (ii) For issuance of an appropriate authority to take disciplinary action against the government officers who are instrumental and in connivance with respondent no. 7 and 8 for fraudulent allotment of work order given without tender and fraudulent withdrawal of government revenue.
- (iii) For issuance of an appropriate writ directing the respondent authorities to take disciplinary action including institution of criminal prosecution against the erring officers.
- (iv) Any other order or orders as to Your Lordships may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.

After the matter was heard for some time, finding the Court not to be in favour of the submissions made across the Bar, learned counsel for the petitioner, under instructions, seeks permission to withdraw the present petition reserving liberty to initiate appropriate proceedings before the appropriate forum, in accordance with law.

Permission granted.

We are sure that as and when any such proceedings are initiated, before the appropriate forum/Tribunal, the same shall be considered and decided expeditiously, in accordance with law.

Needless to add, period for which the petitioner has



been pursuing the matter before this Court, shall be excluded for the purpose of computing limitation.

It is made clear that we have not expressed any opinion on merits. All issues are left open.

The petition stands disposed of as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

Interlocutory application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Partha Sarthy, J)

P.K.P./Amrendra

U			
---	--	--	--

