

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75835 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-302 Year-2023 Thana- DURGAWATI District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

1. AAKASH YADAV Son of Lal Mohar Yadav R/o vill - Gagsadh, P.S. - Agiyawan Bazar, Distt. - Bhojpur (Ara)
2. Raj Kumar Son of Rabi Nath Singh R/o vill - Ujaindihara, P.S. - Piro, Distt. - Bhojpur (Ara)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anil Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Sharma

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 29-11-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in connection with
Durgawati P.S. Case No. 302/2023 registered for the offences
punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and
Excise (Amendment) Act, 2018.

3. As per prosecution case, there was alleged
recovery of total 354.150 liters foreign liquor from Bolero
vehicle in question and the petitioner no.1 was driver and
petitioner no.2 was Khalasi of the said vehicle and they
apprehended on the spot.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that



petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioners. The petitioners are languishing in custody since 03.09.2023 and bear no criminal antecedent. He further submits that the petitioners are not the owner of the alleged vehicle in question. Petitioner no.1 is a driver and petitioner no.2 is a khalasi of the said vehicle and have no knowledge regarding the alleged liquor that has been kept in the said vehicle. The petitioners have to follow the instruction of his owner to earn the livelihood. He further submits that the petitioner has nothing to do with the alleged occurrence and he has no concerned with the seized liquor. He further submits that the seizure list has not been prepared as per the law.

5. The learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, period of custody and keeping in view clean antecedent of petitioners, argument advanced on behalf of both sides and also taking into consideration the material available on record, let the petitioners above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned



Exclusive Special Judge, Excise-II, Kaimur at Bhabhua in connection with Durgawati P.S. Case No. 302/2023, subject to following conditions:-

(i) One of the bailors shall be either father or mother or sister or brother or wife or the person who has sworn the affidavit in bail application.

(ii) Petitioners will co-operate in trial and will remain present on all dates and absence for two consecutive dates without appropriate permission, would be a ground for cancellation of bail by the learned Trial Court itself.

(iii) If the petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Alok Kumar Pandey, J)

amitkumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

