

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17930 of 2022

=====

Surendra Prasad S/o Mangal Prasad, R/o- Village- Barkuiya, P.O. and P.S.-
Barauli, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Rural Development, New Secretariat Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Gopalganj.
3. The Additional Collector, Gopalganj.
4. The District Provident Fund Officer, Gopalganj.
5. The Treasury Officer, Gopalganj.
6. The Deputy Collector (Establishment), Gopalganj.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashi Shekhar Tiwary, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 19-12-2023

Heard Mr. Shashi Shekhar Tiwary, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Sanjay Kumar, learned counsel for the State.

2. The petitioner, who superannuated from the post of Section Officer from Gopalganj Collectorate w.e.f. 31.07.2007, has filed the present writ petition seeking a direction upon the respondents to ensure payment of G.P.F. amount along with the interest from the date of retirement till the date of final payment as also the differential amount towards revision of pension, earned leave etc. He has also prayed for the benefit accrued on account of time bound promotion as well as A.C.P. as admissible to him.



3. A counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of respondent no. 4 and it is categorically averred that the petitioner has been paid all the admissible G.P.F. amount.

4. Mr. Kumar, further by referring to the counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondents no. 2, 3, 5 and 6, has submitted across the Board that now the entire admissible payment under retiral benefits and the benefits accrued on account of A.C.P. have been paid to the petitioner.

5. At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioner, submitted that despite his best efforts, he could not get instruction from his client, and as such, he prays for a short adjournment.

6. Considering the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties and the materials available on record, this Court deems it fit and proper to dispose of the writ petition with a liberty to the petitioner that in case any grievance still subsists, he has remedy to approach before the competent authority for redressal of the same.

(Harish Kumar, J)

rohit/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	20-12-2023
Transmission Date	

