

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.46 of 2023

=====

Sadanand Sah Son of Late Dev Narayan Sah, resident of Mohalla- Sudhin Chouk, Chhath Pokhar, Ward no. 26, P.O. and P.S.- K. Hat, District- Purnea- 854301.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The D.M. Cum Collector, Purnea.
3. The Additional Collector, Purnea.
4. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Purnea.
5. The District Treasury Officer, Nazarat, Purnea.
6. The C.O. Amnour Anchal, District- Purnea.
7. The C.O. B. Kothi, District- Purnea.
8. The Accountant General (A and E), Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Kumar Ravi Shankar, Advocate
For the A.G.	:	Dr. Anand Kumar, Advocate
		Mr.Rajan Prakash, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Prakritita Sharma, Ac to SC-25

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

4 12-05-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

Petitioner, in the present case, is questioning the order passed by the disciplinary authority vide memo no. 309 dated 16.03.2022 by which deduction from pension amount of the petitioner at the rate of 20% has been ordered.

Learned counsel for the State submits that the petitioner has an alternative statutory remedy of appeal under



Rule 24 of the Bihar CCA Rules, 2005 which he has not availed and has directly moved to this Court.

In view of an alternative statutory remedy of appeal available to the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to entertain this writ application. It is being disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail his remedy in appeal.

If an appeal is preferred within a period of 30 days from today, the same will be considered by the appellate authority on it's own merit.

This Writ Application is disposed of accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J.)

Rajeev/-

U			
---	--	--	--

