

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1669 of 2018

-
1. Kapil Choudhary Son of Late Basanti Choudhary, resident of Village- Godapur, P.S.- Nawada, District- Nawada.
 2. Gorakh Paswan, Son of Sri Rajdeo Paswan, Resident of Village- Rajendra Nagar, P.S.- Nawada, District- Nawada.
 3. Suresh Prasad, son of Late Ram Chandra Prasad Yadav, Resident of Village- Mangar Bigha, P.S.- Nawada, District- Nawada.
 4. Mahesh Prasad, Son of Late Agnu Rai, resident of Dariyapur, P.S.- Athamalgola, District- Patna.
 5. Shiwadhar Singh, son of Late Kripal Singh, Resident of Village- Sirdallah, P.S.- Sirdallah, District- Nawada.
 6. Md. Anwar, Son of Md. Safik, resident of P.H.E.D. Office, P.S. Nawada, District- Nawada.
 7. Balmukund Prasad, Son of Late Tulsi Prasad Chouhan, resident of Village- Sahdeo Chak, P.S.- Nawada, District- Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Joint Secretary, Public Health Engineering Department, Government of Bihar, Nirman Bhawan Campu
3. The Engineer-in-Chief-cum-Special Secretary, Public Health Engineering Department, Nirman Bhawan Ca
4. The Regional Chief Engineer, Public Health Engineering Department, Patna.
5. The Executive Engineer, Public Health Engineering Division, Nawada.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Siya Ram Pandey, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vishwambhar Prasad, Ac to AAG 5

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

5 19-09-2023 Heard the parties.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for the



following reliefs:-

(i) for issuance of writ in the appropriate nature for quashing of the order dated 11.02.2014 passed by the Executive Engineer, P.H.E.D., Nawada whereby and whereunder the services of the petitioners have been terminated w.e.f. 28.02.2014;

(ii) for further direction to the respondents authority to regularize the services of the petitioners from the date of their initial appointment as the petitioners have served more than a decades;

(iii) for further direction to the respondents authority to consider the case of the petitioners for regularization in view of the guidelines given in a case of Uma Devi vs. State of Karnataka and disposed of representation of the petitioner by reasoned and speaking order within time framed.

3. After some argument, learned Counsel for the petitioners submit that after the order passed by the Hon'ble Court in CWJC No. 4766 of 2014 (disposed of on 31.07.2017) (Annexure 2 to the petition) certain developments has taken place and in the light of the same, they may be given liberty to approach the concerned respondent for the redressal of their



grievance.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of
with the aforesaid liberty.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Neha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

