

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3637 of 2017**

**In**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18954 of 2008**

=====

Mostt. Kaushalya Devi W/o Late Munshi Ram, Resident of Village- Bari  
Milki, P.O.P.S.- Rajgir, District- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Secretary, Public Health Engineering Department, Government Of Bihar
2. The Chief Engineer Mechanical, P.H.E.D., Bihar, Patna namely Sri Raghavjee Ram.
3. The Superintending Engineer, P.H.E.D., Anchal, Patna, namely Sri Muni Kant Jha.
4. The Executive Engineer, P.H.E.D., Biharsharif, Nalanda namely Sri Manoj Kumar.
5. The Assistant Engineer, P.H.E.D., Sub-Division, Rajgir, Nalanda, namely Sri Manoj Swaroop.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Mishra, Adv.  
For the State : Mr. Alok Ranjan, Adv.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

9      27-09-2023                      Heard Mr. Pramod Mishra, learned counsel for the  
  
petitioner and Mr. Alok Ranjan, learned counsel representing the  
  
State.

2. A show cause affidavit has been filed on behalf of  
the opposite parties no. 1 to 5. With reference to the averments  
made therein, submission has been made that in the light of the  
order of the this Court dated 04.05.2017, the answering opposite  
parties have passed a reasoned and speaking order vide office  
order No. 58 dated 30.11.2017 and after treating the service of



the petitioner with effect from 18.12.1982 on the post of Keyman-cum-Chowkidar, she has been allowed all the retiral benefits, the details of which have been duly mentioned in paragraphs no. 6 to 9.

3. At this stage, Mr. Mishra fairly submits that if the payment has been made by treating the services of the petitioner with effect from 1982, in such circumstances, the contempt petition may be disposed of. However, he prays that liberty may be given to the petitioner, who is a hapless widow, that in case any grievance still remains, she may move before the appropriate forum/authority for redressal of the same.

4. In view of the submissions made, the present contempt petition stands disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

**(Harish Kumar, J)**

Anjani/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

