

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73563 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-342 Year-2022 Thana- MAIRWAN District- Siwan

Ranjit Kumar Yadav @ Ranjit Kumar Son Of Gopal Yadav @ Gopal Jee R/O
Village- Hakam, P.S.- Mahadeva O.P., District- Siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bijay Prakash Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar No. 2, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 30-11-2023 Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to
remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within
a period of three weeks from today.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

3. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in
connection with Mairwa P.S. Case No. 342 of 2022 dated
09.09.2022 for the offence/s punishable u/s 30(a) of the Bihar
Prohibition and Excise Act.

4. As per the prosecution case, total 7 litres of illicit
Banti Babli country made liquor was recovered from a
motorcycle.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted



that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner is the owner of the said motorcycle. The said motorcycle was not being driven by the petitioner at the time of alleged occurrence. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. Learned counsel has submitted that no case is made out against the petitioner. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances



of the case as well as the material available on record, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Siwan in connection with Mairwa P.S. Case No. 342 of 2022, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

guddukr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

