

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16927 of 2022

=====

Mohammad Safikallah Ansari Son of Md. Samsul Ansari, Resident of Village-
Mahthaur, Ward No. 02 near Masjid Gram Mathaur, Post Office Kataiya
Police Station Basopatti, District Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the District Magistrate, Madhubani.
2. The District Magistrate, Madhubani.
3. The Collector, Madhubani.
4. The Executive Engineer Local Area Engineering Organization, Works
Division, Madhubani.
5. The Sub Divisional Officer, Jaynagar, Madhubani.
6. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Jaynagar, Madhubani.
7. The Anchal Adhikari, Basopatti, District-Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ravindra Nath Dubey,Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Md. Khurshid Alam (Aag12)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 26-06-2023 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the
following relief:-

*“1. That this application is being filed for issuance
of writ/writs, order/orders, direction/directions to the
respondents to construct the boundary wall of the
graveyard as per the government decision situated
within Basopati Anchal Mouza Mathaur, Thana N.
21, Old Plot No. 1101, 1102 new Plot Nos. 2105 and
2107 area 40 decimals and 21 decimals and Plot
Nos. 1199, 1103 and 1705 total area 3 acre 3
decimals for which a tender notice was also issued
and further direct the respondents to protect the right*



of the graveyard because some persons are trying to encroach the land for which the petitioner filed an application before the respondent and further direct the Respondent No. 2 to himself enquire the matter and direct the authority concerned to construct the boundary wall which is pending since 2013 after issuing the tender and further direct the respondents to take appropriate steps in the matter and further for any other relief/reliefs as the petitioner is found entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the case for the ends of justice.”

At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, for redressal of the aforesaid grievances.

Liberty so sought is granted.

The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Saurav/-

U			
---	--	--	--

