

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.69515 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-661 Year-2018 Thana- BHABHUA District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

JITENDRA KUMAR SINGH @ JITENDRA SINGH S/o Late Lalan Singh
R/v- Bahuri, P.S.- Bhagwanpur, District- Kaimur at Bhabua

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashutosh Tripathy, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 03-07-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 406, 467, 468 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The informant alleges that IndusInd Bank sanctioned a loan to the informant for purchasing a Bolero vehicle but then no vehicle was delivered to the informant rather he was asked to pay EMI towards the loan and when the informant contacted the bank and the automobile company, they directed him to contact the petitioner who acted as a mediator in the alleged deal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has antecedent of two cases. It is next submitted that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case, it is



next submitted that petitioner works on a commission basis and he had taken the informant to the bank for obtaining a loan for purchasing a Bolero vehicle, thereafter, the loan was sanctioned and it was directly sent to the automobile company and if the vehicle was not delivered in favour of the informant then in that event, the role of the bank along with the dealer of the automobile is to be investigated, it is next submitted that once a loan is sanctioned the bank directly sends the same to the account of the dealer and the dealer has to deliver the car in which the petitioner absolutely has no role to play.

Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Bhabua P.S. Case No. 661 of 2018 subject to the conditions as laid down under



Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

At this stage, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to make rectification at paragraph 3 of the anticipatory bail application.

Permission is accorded.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

GauravSinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

