

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.69806 of 2022**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-11 Year-2022 Thana- MAHILA PS District- Jehanabad

Manoranjana Sharma @ Monnu Sharma, S/o Dharmendra Sharma R/v-
Chotki, Babhanpura, P.S.- Ghoshi, District- Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Madhu Kumari W/o Manoranjana Sharma R/v- Ghoshi Babhanpura, P.O.-
Lakhawar, P.S.- Ghoshi, District- Jehanabad

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Uma Shankar Sharma, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Aslam Ansari, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER**

2 27-02-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State.

Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Jehanabad Mahila P.S. Case No. 11 of 2022 registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 498(A), 341, 323, 504, 379
and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per the prosecution, the informant alleged that this
petitioner and his family members physically tortured, abused
and assaulted the informant and even tried to kill her by setting
her on fire, on protest she was again assaulted by sharp



weapons.

The main submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the petitioner are that the marriage of this petitioner with informant took place in the year 2013, the informant has also filed a complaint case with the same allegations against the petitioner which is pending before the court below and the date of occurrence is stated to be 06.04.2022 but the FIR was lodged on 09.04.2022 and no injury report was brought on record by the informant with regard to the alleged physical torture and petitioner has been languishing in jail since 15.10.2022.

Learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the bail prayer.

Considering the aforesaid facts and mainly the custody period of the petitioner and also the fact that the marriage in between the petitioner and the informant took place in the year 2013 and before the Sessions Judge the conciliation was held in between both the spouses but their dispute could not be resolved despite deep conciliation having been made in between them as per the order impugned, in the opinion of this court, the petitioner deserves to a lenient approach of this court. Accordingly, let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the



like amount each to the satisfaction of the concerned Court in
connection with Jehanabad Mahila P.S. Case No. 11 of 2022.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

Rajiv/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

